FROM VITAL & HEALTH STATISTICS OF THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR HEALTH STATISTICS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service

Number 34

August 30, 1978

Office Visits to Otolaryngologists: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, United States: 1975-761

Based on data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), this report describes an estimated 27,192,000 visits made to the offices of otolaryngologists over the 2-year span from January 1975 through December 1976. NAMCS is a sample survey designed to explore the provision and utilization of ambulatory care in the physician's office-the setting where most Americans seek health care. The survey is conducted yearly throughout the coterminous United States by the Division of Health Resources Utilization Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics. The survey sample is selected from doctors of medicine and osteopathy who are principally engaged in office-based, patient-care practice. Excluded from the sample are an indeterminate number of physicians who render some office-based ambulatory care but whose patient-care activities are secondary to another primary role such as teaching, research, or administration. Also excluded from the NAMCS scope are physicians who are hospital based; those whose specialty is anesthesiology, pathology, or radiology; and physicians in Federal Service.

Because the estimates presented in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire universe of office-based physicians, they are subject to sampling variability. See the Technical Notes for an explanation and for guidelines in judging the relative precision of the estimates. The directions offered there also provide the basis for judging the statistical signif-

DATA HIGHLIGHTS

With an estimated 27,192,000 office visits during the 2-year span 1975-76, otolaryngologists were among the 13 specialists who figured most prominently in the provision of office-based ambulatory care (see table 1).

Compared with the entire universe of officebased physicians, otolaryngologists reversed the overall preference for solo over multiple-member practice (table 2); well over one-half (61 percent) of visits to otolaryngologists were made to those in multiple-member practice arrangements.

Table 1. Number of office visits to the 13 most visited specialists, by type of specialty in rank order: United States, 1975-76

Rank	Type of specialty	Number of visits in thousands
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	General and family practice	460,297 130,367 107,085 97,070 77,259 53,959 47,152 35,721 30,616 27,192 20,728 13,517 3,784

¹This report was prepared by Hugo Koch, Division of Health Resources Utilization Statistics.

icance of differences between estimates that the reader may desire to compare.

Table 2. Number and percent distribution of office visits to otolaryngologists, and percent distribution of office visits to all specialists by physician characteristics: United States, 1975-76

Physician	Vis otolary	Visits to all specialists		
characteristic	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹	
All visits	27,192	100.0	100.0	
Location of practice				
Metropolitan area ²	20,502	75.4	73.3	
Nonmetropolitan area	6,691	24.6	26.7	
Type of practice				
Solo Other	10,524 16,668	38.7 61.3	60.0 40.0	

¹Based on an estimated 1,155,900,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1975 and 1976.

²Location within a standard metropolitan statistical area

Though otolaryngologists treated patients of all ages, the median visit age of 35 years which typified their office-based practice was not substantially different from the median visit age of 37 years characteristic of overall office-based practice. However, among otolaryngologists, there did appear to be a relatively greater concentration of visits (22 percent) by patients under 15 years of age (table 3).

Almost one-half (47 percent) of visits to otolaryngologists were made by male patients, a proportion that somewhat exceeded that found in overall office-based practice (table 3).

The 31 percent of visits to otolaryngologists made by new patients is about twice the comparable proportion found on the average among all office-based practitioners (prior-visit status, table 3). Indeed, among the most visited specialists (listed in table 1), only neurologists exceeded otolaryngologists in this proportion. Contributing in part to this increased presence of new patients is the finding that 5.8 percent of visits to otolaryngologists were referrals, a referral rate that more than doubled the average rate of 2.6 percent common to overall office-based practice. For the 10,907,000 visits at

Table 3. Number and percent distribution of office visits to otolaryngologists, and percent distribution of office visits to all specialists, by patient characteristics: United States, 1975-76

Patient	Vis otolary	Visits to all specialists	
characteristic	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹
All visits	27,192	100.0	100.0
Age			
Under 15 years 15-24 years 25-44 years 45-64 years 65 years and over	5,967 3,458 7,434 6,623 3,710	22.0 12.7 27.3 24.4 13.6	18.1 15.1 25.5 25.1 16.2
Sex			
Female Male	14,412 12,781	53.0 47.0	60.4 39.6
New patient	8,471	31.2	14.6
Old patient, new problem	2,436	9.0	23.2
Old patient, old problem	16,285	59.9	62.3

¹Based on an estimated 1,155,900,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1975 and 1976.

which a new problem was presented to the otolaryngologist (i.e., 8,471,000 visits by new patients plus 2,436,000 visits by old patients with new problems), there were 16,285,000 return visits, an average of 1.5 return visits per new problem per year, a return-visit rate that did not differ substantially from the average of 1.6 return visits found in overall office practice.

Table 4 shows the 10 complaints or symptoms that most frequently prompted a visit to the otolaryngologist. The terms and codes applied to these symptoms or complaints are those developed for use in the NAMCS.²

²Location within a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA). Composition of SMSA's does not reflect 1974 adjustments.

²National Center for Health Statistics: The National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: Symptom classification, United States. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 2-No. 63. DHEW Pub. No. (HRA) 74-1337. Health Resources Administration. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1974.

Table 4. Number, percent, and cumulative percent of office visits to otolaryngologists, by the 10 most common complaints or symptoms presented by patients, classified by NAMCS codes and ranked by frequency of visits: United States, 1975-76

Rank	Complaint or symptom and NAMCS code	Number of visits in thousands	Percent of visits	Cumulative percent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Earache	2,853 2,339 2,195 2,018 1,624 1,028 1,010 723 717 660	10.5 8.6 8.1 7.4 6.0 3.8 3.7 2.7 2.6 2.4	10.5 19.1 27.2 34.6 40.6 44.4 48.1 50.8 53.4

The complaints that patients presented to office-based otolaryngologists signaled conditions of illness or injury that were about equally divided between acute problems, defined for NAMCS use as conditions having an onset within 3 months of the visit, and chronic problems, defined as preexisting conditions having an onset of 3 months or more before the visit. (In overall office-practice, visits for acute problems outnumbered those for chronic problems by a ratio of about 1.2 to 1.) Only urologists and dermatologists exceeded otolaryngologists in the proportion of visits classified as "chronic problem, flare-up," that is, sudden exacerbation of a preexisting chronic condition. An estimated 19 percent of the otolaryngologist's visits fell into this category. The overall average for officebased practice was about 11 percent.

Table 5 presents data on the 10 principal diagnoses most frequently rendered by the office-based otolaryngologist. The principal diagnosis was the first-listed diagnosis on a survey form that permitted up to three diagnostic entries. Table 6 classifies all principal diagnoses made by otolaryngologists by major diagnostic groups. Diagnostic classes and codes are those established by the Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States, 1968 (ICDA).³

Table 5. Number, percent, and cumulative percent of office visits to otolaryngologists, by the 10 principal diagnoses most frequently rendered by the physicians in rank order: United States, 1975-76

Rank	Principal diagnosis and ICDA code	Number of visits in thousands	Percent of visits	Cumulative percent
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Otitis media	3,518 2,394 2,038 1,787 1,637 1,276 1,122 999 851 768	12.9 8.8 7.5 6.6 6.0 4.7 4.1 3.7 3.1 2.8	12.9 21.7 29.2 35.8 41.8 46.5 50.6 54.3 57.4 60.2

³National Center for Health Statistics: Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States. PHS Pub. No. 1693. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1968.

Table 6. Number and percent distribution of office visits to otolaryngologists, by major diagnostic group: United States, 1975-76

Major diagnostic group and ICDA codes	Number of visits in thousands	Percent distribution
All diagnostic groups	27,192	100.0
Infective and parasitic diseases	504	1.9
sense organs	10,497	38.6
system	8,716	32.1
system	588	2.2
subcutaneous tissue680-709 Symptoms and ill-defined	479	1.8
conditions780-796 Accidents, poisonings, and	1,782	6.6
violence	469	1.7
nations without sicknessY00-Y13	2,692	9.9
Residual	1,466	5.2

To establish a diagnosis, office-based otolaryngologists relied chiefly on a limited history and examination (table 7), that is, one focused on the body sites specific to their professional perspective and concerned primarily with the patient's chief complaint (e.g., earache or sore throat). Use of laboratory tests and blood pressure checks was minimal compared with the average use of these diagnostic procedures in overall office-based practice. Drug therapy was the treatment most frequently provided by otolaryngologists, who used it in about 48 percent of visits, a proportion that roughly paralleled its use by the average office-based physician. The use of minor surgical procedures in the office of the otolaryngologist (in about 12 percent of visits) substantially exceeded the average use of office surgery in overall office practice (table 7).

Table 8 offers data on the severity of the problems that patients presented to the oto-laryngologist, expressing the doctor's judgment of the extent of impairment that might result if no care were available. Closely paralleling the

Table 7. Number and percent of office visits to otolaryngologists, and percent of office visits to all specialists, by diagnostic and therapeutic services provided: United States, 1975-76

	Visits to otolary ngoloists		Visits to all specialists	
Diagnostic and therapeutic services provided	Number of visits in thousands	Percent	Percent ¹	
No services provided	1,337	4.9	2.5	
Limited history or examination	15,166	55.8	51.6	
General history or examination	2,994	11.0	16.3	
Clinical lab test	762	2.8	22.8	
X-ray	1,636	6.0	7.6	
Blood pressure check	496	1.8	33.2	
Hearing test	3,548	13.1	1.3	
Vision test	782	2.9	5.0	
Therapeutic services:				
Drug prescribed	12,955	47.6	43.6	
Injection	2,428	8.9	13.1	
Immunization or desensitization	627	2.3	4.9	
Office surgery	3,150	11.6	6.9	
Medical counseling	2,871	10.6	13.0	
Other services provided	1,754	6.5	5.6	

¹Based on an estimated 1,155,900,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1975 and 1976.

Table 8. Number and percent distribution of office visits to otolaryngologists, and percent of office visits to all specialists, by selected visit characteristics: United States, 1975-76

Visit characteristic	Visits to otolaryngologists		Visits to all specialists	
Visit characteristic	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹	
All visits	27,192	100.0	100.0	
Serious of problem				
Serious and very serious	4,934 10,286 11,972	18.2 37.8 44.0	19.2 32.3 48.5	
Disposition (selected actions) No followup	3,913 13,661 7,225 682 *458 1,170	14.4 50.2 26.6 2.5 *1.7 4.3	12.3 60.2 21.9 3.5 2.8 2.1	
Duration of visit				
0 minute (no face-to-face encounter with physician) 1-5 minutes	*434 3,796 10,222 6,377 5,735 630	*1.6 14.0 37.6 23.5 21.1 2.3	1.8 15.1 31.5 26.6 19.5 5.5	

¹Based on an estimated 1,155,900,000 visits made to all ofice-based physicians in 1975 and 1976.

average tendency among all office-based practitioners, otolaryngologists judged most of their patients' problems (about 4 of every 5) to range from slightly serious to not serious in prognosis.

Otolaryngologists ended 1 of every 2 visits by scheduling a return visit at a specified time—their single, most frequent form of disposition (table 8). In their reliance on specific followup they were in accord with the general tendency found in all office-based practice, though they used this disposition action with a frequency which was substantially less than average, tending to apply with a greater-than-average frequency the nonspecific direction "return if

needed." The nonserious nature of most of the otolaryngologists' office practice is reflected in the small proportion of visits that resulted in hospital admission. It is noteworthy, however, that this relatively small admission rate was still more than double the average rate of hospital admission found in all office-based practice.

Three-fourths of visits to otolaryngologists involved a doctor-patient contact that was under 15 minutes in duration, the average contact probably lasting about 14 minutes—not substantially different from the average finding for all office-based practitioners (15 minutes).

TECHNICAL NOTES

SOURCE OF DATA. The information presented in this report is based on data collected in the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS) during 1975 and 1976. The target population of the NAMCS encompasses office visits made within the coterminous United States by ambulatory patients to physicians not in Federal Service who are principally engaged in office practice, and not in the specialties of anesthesiology, pathology, or radiology. The National Opinion Research Center, under contract to the National Center for Health Statistics, was the organization responsible for the survey's field operation.

SAMPLE DESIGN. The NAMCS utilizes a multistage probability design that involves samples of primary sampling units (PSU's), physician practices within PSU's, and patient visits within practices. Each year a sample of practicing physicians is selected from master files maintained by the American Medical Association and the American Osteopathic Association. (For the 2-year period 1975-76, a total of 149 otolaryngologists were included in the Sample. They achieved a response rate of 83 percent.) Characteristics of the physician's practice, such as primary specialty and type of practice, are obtained during an induction interview.

The physicians are requested to complete Patient Records (brief encounter forms) for a systematic random sample of office visits during a randomly assigned weekly reporting period.⁴ (In the 2-year period 1975-76, sampled otolaryngologists completed a total of 2,786 Patient Records.) A detailed description of the NAMCS design and procedures has been presented in the publication "The National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 1975 Summary."⁵

SAMPLING ERRORS. Because the estimates for this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire universe, they are subject to sampling variability. The standard error is primarily a measure of sampling variability. The relative standard error of an estimate is obtained by dividing the standard error of the estimate by the estimate itself and is expressed as a percent of the estimate. Relative standard errors of selected aggregate statistics are shown in table I. The standard errors appropriate for estimated percentages of visits are shown in table II.

DEFINITIONS. An ambulatory patient is an individual presenting himself for personal health services who is neither bedridden nor currently admitted to any health care institution.

An office is a place that the physician identifies as a location for his ambulatory practice. Responsibility over time for patient care and

Table I. Approximate relative standard error of estimated number of office visits: United States, 1975-76

Estimated number of office visits in thousands	Relative standard error in percent
600	30.2
1,000	23.5
2,000	16.7
4,000	12.0
10,000	8.0
40,000	4.8
200,000	3.4
1,000,000	3.1

Example of use of table: An aggregate estimate of 25,000,000 visits has a relative standard error of 6.4 percent or a standard error of 1,600,000 visits (6.4 percent of 25,000,000).

Table II. Approximate standard errors of percentages of estimated number of office visits: United States, 1975-76

Base of percentage	Estimated percentage						
number of visits in thousands	1 or 99	5 or 95	10 or 90	20 or 80	30 or 70	50	
	Stan	dard e	ror in	percent	age poi	nt s	
600	3.0 2.3 1.6 1.2 0.7 0.4 0.2 0.1	6.5 5.1 3.6 2.5 1.6 0.8 0.4 0.2	9.0 7.0 4.9 3.5 2.2 1.1 0.5 0.2	12.0 9.3 6.6 4.7 2.9 1.5 0.7 0.3	10.7 7.5 5.3 3.4	15.0 11.6 8.2 5.8 3.7 1.8 0.8 0.4	

Example of use of table: An estimate of 20 percent based on an aggregate estimate of 80,000,000 visits has a standard error of 1.3 percent. The relative standard error of 20 percent is 6.5 percent (1.3 percent ÷ 20 percent).

⁴A facsimile of the Patient Record appears as Figure I.

⁵National Center for Health Statistics: The National
Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 1975 Summary,
United States, January-December 1975. Vital and Health
Statistics. Series 13-No. 33, DHEW Pub. (PHS) 78-1784.
Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec.
1977.

professional services rendered there generally resides with the individual physician, rather than an institution.

A visit is a direct personal exchange between an ambulatory patient and a physician or a staff member working under the physician's supervision for the purpose of seeking care and rendering health services.

A physician is a duly licensed doctor of medicine (MD) or doctor of osteopathy (DO) cur-

rently in office-based practice who spends time in caring for ambulatory patients. Excluded from NAMCS are physicians who are hospital based; physicians who specialize in anesthesiology, pathology, and radiology; physicians who are federally employed; physicians who treat only institutionalized patients; physicians employed full time by an institution; and physicians who spend no time seeing ambulatory patients.

ap	ridual, I for er purpose.	BN?			
1. DATE OF VISIT Mo Day Yr	. NA	PATIENT RECO TIONAL AMBULATORY MEDIC		RE SURVEY	
2. DATE OF BIRTH	4. COLOR OR RACE	5. PATIENT'S PRINCIPAL PROBLEM(S) COMPLAINT(S), OR SYMPTOM(S) THIS VIS (In patient's own words)	ır	6. SERIOUSNESS OF PROBLEM IN ITEM 5a (Check one)	7. HAVE YOU EVER SEEN THIS PATIENT BEFORE?
Mo / Day /Yr 3. SEX	□ WHITE □ NEGRO/	a. MOST		· □ VERY SERIOUS	1 D YES 2 D NO
¹ ☐ FEMALE	DIACK DIHER	IMPORTANT		2 SERIOUS 3 SLIGHTLY SERIOUS	If YES, for the problem indicated in ITEM 5a?
² [] MALE	□ UNKNOWN	b. OTHER		4 ☐ NOT SERIOUS	, ☐ YES 2 ☐ NO
CHRONIC PROB CHRONIC PROB PRENATAL CARI POSTNATAL CAR POSTOPERATIVE	LEM, FLARE-UP E RE CARE	COUNSELING/ADVICE COUNSELING/AD		THER SIGNIFICANT CURRENT (order of importance)	DIAGNOSES
	PEUTIC SERVICES ORDER	ED/PROVIDED THIS VISIT (Check all that apply)		POSITION THIS VISIT	12. DURATION OF THIS VISIT (Time
10 NONE 12 LIMITED HISTORY 13 GENERAL HISTORY 14 CLINICAL LAB. TE 15 BLOOD PRESSURE 16 EKG 17 HEARING TEST 18 VISION TEST 19 ENDOSCOPY 10 OFFICE SURGERY	//EXAM 12 Y/EXAM 13 ST 14 CHECK 15 16 17	☐ DRUG PRESCRIBED ☐ X-RAY ☐ INJECTION ☐ IMMUNIZATION/DESENSITIZATION ☐ PHYSIOTHERAPY ☐ MEDICAL COUNSELING ☐ PSYCHOTHERAPY/THERAPEUTIC ☐ LISTENING ☐ OTHER (Specify)	1	ck all that apply) FOLLOW-UP PLANNED FURN AT SPECIFIED TIME FURN IF NEEDED, P.R.N. EPHONE FOLLOW-UP PLANNI FERRED TO OTHER FHYSICIAN/AGENCY FURNED TO REFERRING FHYSICIAN MIT TO HOSPITAL HER (Specify)	actually spent with physician)

Recent Issues of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics

- No. 33. Office Visits to Orthopedic Surgeons, National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: United States, 1975-76 (Issued: July 18, 1978)
- No. 32. Sociodemographic and Health Characteristics of Persons by Private Health Insurance Coverage and Type of Plan, United States, 1975 (Issued: August 22, 1978)
- No. 31. Office Visits to Ophthalmologists: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, United States, 1976 (Issued: July 14, 1978)
- No. 30. 1976 Summary: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, United States (Issued: July 13, 1978)
- No. 29. A Comparison of Nursing Home Residents and Discharges from the 1977 National Nursing Home Survey: United States (Issued: May 17, 1978)

A complete list of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics is available from the Scientific and Technical Information Branch.

NCHS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE Public Health Service
National Center for Health Statistics
3700 East West Highway
Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

DHEW Publication No. (PHS) 78-1250 POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF H.E.W.

HEW 396

FIRST CLASS MAIL

